EDMONTON DESIGN COMMITTEE MINUTES

Location: Google Meet
Tuesday, July 21, 2026

MEMBERS:	PRESENT:
C. Dorward, Chair	C. Dorward, Chair
N. LaMontagne, Vice-Chair	N. LaMontagne, Vice-Chair
S. Gibson	S. Gibson, Vice-Chair
G. Freer	G. Freer
J. Monfries	J. Monfries
K. Dieterman	K. Dieterman
M. Tindall	-
N. Pryce	N. Pryce
A. Rooke	A. Rooke
M. Carbert	M. Carbert
A. Jhawer	A. Jhawer
K. Pawlina	K. Pawlina

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:
E. Voutchkov, Urban Planning and Economy Department, EDC Administration
W. Sims, Urban Planning and Economy Department, EDC Administration
R. Lipka, Urban Planning and Economy Department, EDC Administration

---

A. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS

A.1. CALL TO ORDER

N. LaMontagne called the meeting to order at 4:03p.m.

A.2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

MOTION: C. Dorward

Motion to adopt the July 21, 2026 Agenda, with the suggested addition.

SECONDED: G. Freer

The motion passed unanimously.

A.3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOTION: C. Dorward

Motion to adopt the Jul 7, 2026 Minutes

SECONDED: S. Gibson

A.4 REQUESTS TO SPEAK

None.

A.5 PROJECT CONFLICTS

None

B. PROJECT SYNOPSES (Closed to the Public)

MOTION: C. Dorward

That the Edmonton Design Committee meet in private pursuant to Section 29 (advice from officials) of the Access to Information Act (ATIA) for the discussion of item B.

SECONDED: N. Pryce

The motion passed unanimously.

Edmonton Design Committee met in private at 4:05 p.m.

C. APPLICATIONS

FORMAL APPLICATIONS (Open to the Public)

MOTION: C. Dorward

That the Edmonton Design Committee meet in public pursuant to Section 29 (advice from officials) of the Access to Information Act (ATIA) for the discussion of item C1.

SECONDED: M. Carbert

The motion passed unanimously.

Edmonton Design Committee met in public at 4.27 p.m.

C.1 EPINSS Bridgeway Continuing Care (DP)
Michael Dub - Dub Architects

Motion of Support: C. Dorward
Seconded: J. Monfries

The Committee welcomes this proposed development and is satisfied that it achieves a high standard of urban design. No further comments are provided.

The Committee notes that it was beneficial for the applicant to attend an informal meeting to enable input from the Edmonton Design Committee prior to making a decision and enabled the applicant to make positive changes to address the concerns.

For the Motion: C. Dorward, S. Gibson, G. Freer, J. Monfries, K. Dieterman, N. Pryce, A. Rooke, M. Carbert, A. Jhawer, K. Pawlina

Against the Motion: None

CARRIED

N. LaMontagne joined the meeting at 5:01pm

C.2 Mainstreet Tower Podium Upgrades (DP)
Thalisa McGregor - Reid Architecture

Motion of Support: G. Freer
Seconded: M. Carbert

The Committee welcomes this proposed development, and in the interest of ensuring a high standard of urban design recommends the Applicant:

- Consider not extending the main floor exterior walls as far as being proposed on the north and east of the building. Having the wall recessed from the above screen treatment can act as a canopy and would be more consistent with the south facade, which is recessed. 5ft, and would offer basic protection.

- Consider adding retail facing 100 Street. It provides an opportunity to enhance the building relationship with City Hall Plaza and also provides continuation of retail from 103 Ave, which provides an opportunity for continued street activation at the human scale.
- Consider revisiting the logo/branding on the corner. The integrated logo within the steel facade is subtle and might not be readily recognizable as a project identifier from the public realm.
- Consider revisiting the cladding around the parking ramp and tying into the curvature/swoops of the facade to better harmonize with the language of the remainder of the cladding. Perhaps look at smoothing the top to match the curved nature on the remainder of the facade.
- Consider refining the treatment of the digital screen on the facade of the parkade. The current iteration of the design appears proportionally out of scale and "tacked on."If included in the final design, it should be more integrated and integral to the overall design.

The Committee notes that it would have been beneficial for the applicant to submit for an informal meeting to enable input from the Edmonton Design Committee prior to making a decision and enabling the applicant to make changes to address the concerns.

For the Motion: C. Dorward, N. LaMontagne, S. Gibson, G. Freer, J. Monfries, K. Dieterman, N. Pryce, A. Rooke, M. Carbert, A. Jhawer, K. Pawlina

Against the Motion: None
Abstained: N. Pryce

CARRIED

D. OTHER BUSINESS

E. REGRETS for Aug 4, 2026

Regrets: J. Monfries, G. Freer, M. Carbert

F. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:25 p.m.

G. NEXT MEETING

Tuesday, Aug 4, 2026 at 4:00p.m.