## **S&P Global** Ratings

# **RatingsDirect**®

## **Summary:**

## City of Edmonton

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Dina Shillis, CFA, Toronto + 1 (416) 507 3214; dina.shillis@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Stephen Ogilvie, Toronto (1) 416-507-2524; stephen.ogilvie@spglobal.com

#### **Research Contributor:**

ekta bhayani, CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an S&P affiliate, Mumbai

#### Table Of Contents

**Key Rating Factors** 

Outlook

Rationale

**Key Statistics** 

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria

Related Research

### **Summary:**

## City of Edmonton

**Issuer Credit Rating** 

AA/Stable/A-1+

## **Key Rating Factors**

Credit context and assumptions	Base-case expectations
<ul> <li>Prudent financial management practices will support the City of Edmonton's creditworthiness as economic activity recovers.</li> <li>Despite its large public sector and high income levels, the city's exposure to the volatile energy sector will add to the negative impact from COVID-19 amid low oil prices and ongoing pipeline capacity constraints.</li> <li>We expect management will continue to make prudent fiscal decisions, allowing it to preserve healthy operating results and remain on track with its large capital plan.</li> <li>We believe the city's relationship with the Province of Alberta will remain well-balanced and generally supportive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effective cost-cutting measures will help offset the budgetary shortfall as a result of COVID-19.</li> <li>We believe restrictions in place to address the pandemic will have a notable but temporary impact on operating results, largely restricted to the current fiscal year.</li> <li>The city will proceed with the bulk of its capital program as planned to stimulate the economy, keeping after-capital balances in a deficit.</li> <li>We expect the debt burden to grow with the capital plan but remain manageable, while liquidity levels continue to be healthy.</li> </ul>

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that, in the next two years, the revenue and expenditure impacts stemming from the pandemic-related restrictions will be largely temporary in nature and Edmonton will maintain overall sound financial results and secure the pay-as-you-go funding required under its large capital plan. This will allow the city to avoid additional debt borrowing beyond current expectations or excessive drawdowns of reserves. We also expect that the economy will return to moderate growth in the outlook horizon.

#### Downside scenario

Weaker-than-expected economic activity could impair Edmonton's property tax and user-fee revenues. If this, or an unexpected major spending decision, were to happen while the city is undertaking its large capital spending plan, Edmonton's after-capital deficits and budget flexibility could weaken further. We could lower the rating as a result.

#### Upside scenario

We could raise the rating in the next two years if Edmonton's economy became less reliant on the energy sector; and robust economic growth supported strong revenue generation, allowing the city to improve its medium-term capital funding profile and fiscal position. Under this scenario, we would expect after-capital deficits to decline consistently below 5% of operating revenues, and the tax-supported debt burden to drop below 120% of operating revenues.

#### Rationale

We expect the slowdown in economic activity brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic and associated restrictions will create a challenging operating environment for Edmonton in the next two years. Exposure to the energy sector and the currently low oil prices add further uncertainty to the city's recovery path, compared with that of peers with more diversified local economies. Nevertheless, we believe that Edmonton's prudent financial management and cost-containment efforts will help to mitigate the direct fiscal impacts stemming from the pandemic and maintain healthy liquidity levels. The city's large capital plan will continue to drive after-capital deficits and increased borrowings.

#### Slower economic growth is ahead; financial management remains strong.

Despite what we view as a temporary shock, we believe Edmonton continues to demonstrate characteristics of a strong economy, with an estimated GDP per capita of more than US\$41,000 and above the national average. The Edmonton region is the design and fabrication center for most of the equipment used in the oil sands and other oilfield development. This results in high income levels but represents substantial economic concentration. As the provincial capital, Edmonton's economy has historically been anchored by a large public sector, which partially insulates the labor force from economic cycles.

In our opinion, the management team is experienced and qualified to effectively enact appropriate fiscal policies, as well as respond to external risks, most recently to the COVID-19 pandemic. The city has a robust set of financial policies and a well-documented financial plan. In addition, it provides transparent disclosure of pertinent information. Management prepares detailed four-year operating and capital budgets, coinciding with council terms, as well as a 10-year capital investment plan. Management of debt and liquidity is prudent, and the business plan details formal risk-management strategies and policies. We expect these practices to continue over the next two years.

We believe Edmonton, like other Canadian municipalities, benefits from a very predictable and well-balanced local and regional government framework that has demonstrated a high degree of institutional stability. Although provincial governments mandate a significant proportion of municipal spending, they also provide operating fund transfers and impose fiscal restraint through legislative requirements to pass balanced operating budgets. Municipalities generally have the ability to match expenditures well with revenues, except for capital spending, which can be intensive. Any operating surpluses typically fund capital expenditures and future liabilities (such as postemployment obligations and landfill closure costs) through reserve contributions.

#### Cost-cutting measures will help stabilize operating results amid adverse economic conditions.

To counterbalance the revenue impact of the pandemic, Edmonton is implementing expense-reduction measures to limit the budget shortfall. These include vacancy management, temporary layoffs, and project adjustments, offset

somewhat by additional COVID-19 costs, such as enhanced cleaning procedures. Despite a temporary decline in the operating results in 2020, we expect balances will recover and remain healthy, on average, at more than 15% of operating revenues from 2018-2022. Similar to other Canadian municipalities, Edmonton's budgetary flexibility is neutral to our assessment of it budgetary performance, given the city's proven ability to support additional spending while maintaining a manageable fiscal environment.

The city's large capital program will maintain pressure on its after-capital balances, which we forecast will remain in a deficit and average 5.8% of total revenues in the five-year period. We expect Edmonton will proceed with most of the projects to stimulate the economy, spending more than C\$3.8 billion through 2022.

With construction of the Valley Line Southeast LRT (stage one) progressing to completion in 2021, and the construction of the Valley Line West LRT (stage two) planned to start the same year, the city expects to issue about C\$2.1 billion of total debt from 2020-2022. As a result, we expect that Edmonton's tax-supported debt will rise to about C\$4.7 billion in 2022, or 145% of operating revenues. We expect interest expense to remain below 5% of operating revenues in 2019-2021. Our measure of tax-supported debt includes C\$587 million debt issued by Edmonton for EPCOR Utilities Inc. (EPCOR). The city's contingent liabilities are low. We do not consider the debt EPCOR issues in its own name as a contingent liability, because we believe the likelihood of the city providing the utility with extraordinary support in a stress scenario is low.

Edmonton continues to benefit from exceptional internal liquidity support and strong access to external liquidity for refinancing needs, given its proven ability to issue into various markets, including that for public debt, and the presence of a secondary market for Canadian municipal debt instruments. Edmonton can also draw up to C\$100 million in promissory notes and access the Alberta Capital Finance Authority for term debt financing. We estimate that the city's free cash will total more than C\$1.7 billion in the next 12 months, sufficient to cover about 2.9x estimated debt service for the period.

## **Key Statistics**

Table 1

City of Edmonton Selected Indicators						
	Fiscal year ended Dec. 31					
(Mil. C\$)	2017	2018	2019	2020bc	2021bc	2022bc
Operating revenues	2,920	2,921	3,060	2,864	3,146	3,251
Operating expenditures	2,381	2,476	2,545	2,454	2,633	2,746
Operating balance	539	445	514	410	513	506
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	18.5	15.2	16.8	14.3	16.3	15.5
Capital revenues	470	738	603	515	501	602
Capital expenditures	1,138	1,290	1,259	1,363	1,269	1,186
Balance after capital accounts	(129)	(107)	(142)	(438)	(255)	(78)
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	(3.8)	(2.9)	(3.9)	(13.0)	(7.0)	(2.0)
Debt repaid	440	461	335	402	431	440
Gross borrowings	586	595	452	657	756	711

Table 1

City of Edmonton Selected Indicators (cont.)						
	Fiscal year ended Dec. 31					
(Mil. C\$)	2017	2018	2019	2020bc	2021bc	2022bc
Balance after borrowings	17	27	(25)	(184)	70	193
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	3,534	3,624	3,810	4,122	4,447	4,718
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	121.0	124.1	124.5	143.9	141.4	145.1
Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)	3,534	3,624	3,810	4,122	4,447	4,718
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)	121.0	124.1	124.5	143.9	141.4	145.1
Interest (% of operating revenues)	5.0	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.7
Local GDP per capita (single units)	71,331	72,105	70,704	65,557	66,938	68,090
National GDP per capita (single units)	58,591	60,011	61,291	57,181	60,677	63,026

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. N/A--Not applicable. N.A.--Not available. N.M.--Not meaningful.

### **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Table 2

Key rating factors	Scores	
Institutional framework	2	
Economy	2	
Financial management	1	
Budgetary performance	3	
Liquidity	1	
Debt burden	4	
Stand-alone credit profile	aa	
Issuer credit rating	AA	

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the "Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S.," published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 the weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

## **Key Sovereign Statistics**

Sovereign Risk Indicators, April 24, 2020. Interactive version available at http://www.spratings.com/sri.

#### Related Criteria

 Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019

- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

### **Related Research**

- Canada's Economy Faces A Patchy Recovery, June 29, 2020
- Public Finance System: Canadian Municipalities, May 12, 2020
- Guidance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- Institutional Framework Assessments For International Local And Regional Governments, July 4, 2019

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.