



Corporate Services Department

Materials Management

8th Floor, Chancery Hall

3 Sir Winston Churchill Square

Edmonton, Alberta

CANADA T5J 2C3

Tel.: 780.496.5333

Fax: 780.496.5015

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION
NUMBER 924536**

ISSUE DATE: 07 May, 2014

THIS IS NOT AN ORDER

CLARIFICATION ISSUANCE #3

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION

VALLEY LINE LRT - STAGE 1

This document contains 3 sections:

- 1) Queries and Responses;
- 2) Addenda Listing; and
- 3) Notifications.

Section A –Queries and Responses

| Past Clarification Issuance # | Queries & Responses Issued | Issued |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Queries #1 to #2 | 30 April, 2014 |
| 2 | Query #3 | 06 May, 2014 |

Query # 4:

May we provide more than 3 projects where requested (reference B2.3, B3.2, B4.1, B5.1, B6.1, B7.1.) to demonstrate our relevant experience as long as we still maintain the overall Submission page limits?

Response # 4:

Respondents have full discretion to formulate their Submission to meet the requirements of the RFQ. Respondents are advised that for the purposes of demonstrating the requisite experience as set out by the Submission requirements in each of Appendix B Sections B 2.3, B 3.2, B 4.1, B 5.1, B 6.1, B 7.1, only 3 projects will be evaluated.

If a Respondent submits more than 3 projects in response to any of the experience based requirements in Appendix B Sections B 2.3, B 3.2, B 4.1, B 5.1, B 6.1, B 7.1, then the City will only evaluate the first 3 projects that appear in the original submission document.

Respondents should also note that they may submit different projects under each of the Appendix B Sections B 2.3, B 3.2, B 4.1, B 5.1, B 6.1, and B 7.1.

For further clarification, consider the following example, (as extracted from Appendix B Section B 4.1):

NOTE: For the requirements below there are no limitations on the number of projects (if any) referenced or described.

“Describe the organization of the Team Members involved in the Project design, and the roles and responsibilities and the proportionate responsibilities (including percentage allocations) of each such Team Member (including equity participation if applicable). Respondents should provide an organizational chart detailing the various relationships and lines of reporting for the organization of the Team Members involved in delivering the design component.

Describe the collective capacity and depth of resources between the Team Members for delivering the design requirements of the Project, particularly in the context of the Key Individuals proposed and the

roles they play in the Project. For example, please provide details of the number of Chief Architects of similar experience and qualifications that reside with the Team Members and so may be offered as a replacement for the Key Individual in the future (if required).

Describe the proposed approach to ensuring general labour availability, including that of Key Individuals.”

NOTE: Only the first 3 projects in the Submission section will be evaluated in response to the requirements below.

“The Respondent should include 3 projects that evidence Team Member experience in the following:

- *designing LRT systems (preferably urban, low-floor systems), which include one or more of the following features: embedded track, dedicated lanes on streets, signal coordination and pre-emption, and LRT operations in close proximity to businesses and residences;*
- *designing such LRT systems as are requested for this Project, which operate in the range of weather conditions experienced in Edmonton;*
- *designing LRT systems which embody high levels of the SUI concepts and principles;*
- *designing to low-floor LRV specifications that consider both LRV performance and life cycle costs;*
- *coordinating systems engineering and systems integration for LRT systems including requirements management, configuration management, interface management, RAM analysis, safety assurance and certification, and integration testing and demonstration;*
- *coordination and liaison with key external stakeholders, including but not limited to operating, regulatory, and permitting agencies; and*
- *working together as an integrated design team and wider Respondent team.”*

Query # 5:

May we identify and provide additional Key Individual positions and resumes to better reflect our Team’s planned organization structure as long as we still maintain the overall Submission page limits?

Response # 5:

Respondents have full discretion to formulate their Submission to meet the requirements of the RFQ. Respondents are advised that for the purposes of fulfilling the requirements for Key Individuals as set out in Appendix B Sections B 2.3, B 3.2, B 4.2, B 5.2, B 6.2, B 7.2, only the resumes of those Key Individuals, as defined in Appendix A of the RFQ, will be evaluated.

If a Respondent submits more than 1 resume in response to any of the Key Individual positions identified in Appendix B Sections B 2.3, B 3.2, B 4.2, B 5.2, B 6.2, B 7.2, then the City will only evaluate the first resume that appears in the original submission document.

Respondents are advised that only a single resume for each Key Individual position identified will be excluded from the page count limit stipulated in Section 4.3 of the RFQ.

Query # 6:

Appendix A - Please clarify the definition of Team Member. Currently it could be interpreted to include all identified exclusive second tier subcontractors and sub-consultants regardless of role and position in the organization. Since these second tier subcontractors and sub-consultants would be subcontractors and therefore financially wrapped by the first tier organizational entity (e.g. Contractor, Operator, Asset Manager or Designer) it would not appear to be the intent of the City that their financials would be necessary pursuant to B.8.?

Response # 6:

The requirements of Appendix B, Section B 8 apply to all Team Members, as defined in Appendix A, and if so designated as Team Members by the Respondent.

Query # 7:

Would the project sponsors allow proponents to use a smaller font (e.g. 9 pt font) for graphics, tables, and/or charts in its submission?

Response # 7:

For the purposes of graphs, graphics or charts, Respondents should note that if they are not legible (unaided), then they may not receive credit for the information contained therein. Graphs, graphics or charts (including gantt charts) shall not be subject to the font size requirement stipulated in Section 4.3. All tables are subject to the font size rule set out in Section 4.3

end

Section B – Addenda Listing

| Addendum # | Subject Matter | Issued |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Addressing changes listed 1.1 to 1.3. | 07 May 2014 |

end

Section C – Notifications

| Past Clarification Issuance # | Notifications Issued | Issued |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 1 | Notification #1: Further Details on the Information Session. | 30 April, 2014 |

end