



## **City of Edmonton**

# **2014 Employee Engagement and Diversity Survey**

**Snapshot Report**

**Section / (Sub) Sub-Section: n/a**

**Branch: Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability**

**Department: Sustainable Development**

Survey Start Date: September 9, 2014

Survey Close Date: September 30, 2014

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### HOW TO READ YOUR REPORT

This report is designed to summarize the opinions made by individuals regarding the job and work environment at the City of Edmonton. The information below describes how to read the results.

Your agreement percentages are graphically depicted as bar charts in % Favourable, % Neutral, and % Unfavourable formats.

The box to the right displays how each percentage was calculated. A % Favourable score of 100% means that all employees indicated they "Strongly Agreed" or "Agreed". While a % Neutral score is technically the middle value on the scale, the score can represent a response that is more unfavourable than it is favourable.

Each organization is different and your survey results should be used as a guide for further investigation. Generally, a % Favourable of 70 or above is considered good, a % Favourable in the 60s is acceptable, and a % Favourable lower than 60 would indicate the need to investigate further.

**Interpreting "Neutral" scores:** It is a common temptation to view the neutral scores as "mildly positive" or "on the fence" and to combine neutral with positive scores. TalentMap's experience, corroborated with academic and industry research, indicates that neutral scores should be interpreted as "mildly negative" given the positive bias of the survey instrument. Respondents who select "neither agree nor disagree" to a particular question are not in agreement and are therefore sending a mildly negative message.

This report displays the survey items by dimension.

**Branch 2014:** refers to your 2014 branch's % Favourable score.

**Group 2012:** refers to your comparable 2012 group's % Favourable score.

**Group 2010:** refers to your comparable 2010 group's % Favourable score.

**Dept 2014:** refers to your department's 2014 overall % Favourable score.

**CoE 2014:** refers to the 2014 overall score for the City of Edmonton.

**% Unfavourable:** represents the respondents who chose "Very Dissatisfied/Dissatisfied" or "Strongly Disagree/Disagree".

**% Neutral:** represents the respondents who chose "Neither Agree nor Disagree" or "Neutral".

**% Favourable:** represents the respondents who chose "Very Satisfied/Satisfied" or "Strongly Agree/Agree".

TalentMap converts the 5-point Likert scale to a 3-point scale for reporting purposes. This allows for more variation in the data, thus it is easier to identify the attributes where an organization is performing well as well as the attributes that need improvement.

**Invited: 147**

**Responded: 132**

**Participation Rate 2014: 90%**


**Participation Rate 2012: 85%**

**Participation Rate 2010: 79%**

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Key Dimension Overview




Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
67.6	75.1	64.8	70.4	70.5
65.1	69.8	63.5	68.0	69.2
64.4	70.7	58.0	67.1	69.3

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Key Dimension Overview



Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
64.4	63.4	63.3	67.4	69.0
65.9	73.4	60.3	70.8	71.5


TalentMap has recommended the creation of an index, or summary score, for the Immediate Supervisor questions beginning with the 2014 survey results. The index is an easy-to-understand single score representing the average percentage of favourable responses among those questions that measure employees' opinions of their relationship with their immediate, or direct supervisor. TalentMap's previous research with many other clients consistently shows that how employees feel about their relationship with their immediate supervisor is a very important driver of how engaged they are with the organization. Therefore, the index will serve as a useful score in order to develop and implement specific actions to improve the effectiveness of immediate supervisors, and to monitor progress as future surveys are implemented. The individual questions that make up the Immediate Supervisor key dimension also appear in the Culture and Workplace dimensions.

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Engagement

■ Unfavourable ■ Neutral ■ Favourable




Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
67.6	75.1	64.8	70.4	70.5
64.4	63.4	63.3	67.4	69.0
78.6	90.0	76.7	78.8	79.9
56.8	64.1	62.1	62.2	62.5
77.9	78.9	66.7	78.8	77.5
65.9	79.5	56.7	70.0	72.9
62.1	74.4	63.3	65.3	60.9

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Culture

■ Unfavourable ■ Neutral ■ Favourable




Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
65.1	69.8	63.5	68.0	69.2
72.3	70.7	48.3	71.3	69.5
70.8	72.5	76.7	75.5	77.5
53.4	61.5	69.0	60.2	64.1
86.4	85.4	n/a	85.6	85.3
52.3	45.7	43.3	54.0	58.4
41.2	61.5	n/a	49.9	56.6
66.2	77.5	n/a	65.5	72.9
55.4	55.3	n/a	62.1	65.8
77.1	77.5	65.5	77.8	75.6
71.0	81.6	62.1	72.9	69.6
71.5	74.4	n/a	73.6	72.2
66.4	70.0	55.2	70.3	71.1
62.1	74.4	63.3	65.3	60.9

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Workplace




Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
64.4	70.7	58.0	67.1	69.3
62.9	71.8	76.7	62.0	68.0
76.3	67.5	n/a	79.9	78.3
71.2	69.2	76.7	70.2	74.3
59.1	69.2	n/a	62.5	61.6
60.0	57.9	n/a	62.5	62.4
62.6	67.5	36.7	59.9	61.9
65.6	72.5	62.1	61.1	69.4
40.7	63.2	42.9	53.4	60.2
65.2	75.0	70.0	66.9	68.1
52.7	70.0	44.8	60.7	60.5
66.1	76.3	n/a	75.2	80.1
55.4	64.1	n/a	63.6	64.7
78.6	80.0	75.9	79.2	78.2
84.8	85.0	n/a	82.6	82.6

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

### Immediate Supervisor

■ Unfavourable ■ Neutral ■ Favourable




Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
65.9	73.4	60.3	70.8	71.5
78.6	80.0	75.9	79.2	78.2
77.1	77.5	65.5	77.8	75.6
71.0	81.6	62.1	72.9	69.6
66.4	70.0	55.2	70.3	71.1
40.7	63.2	42.9	53.4	60.2
55.4	64.1	n/a	63.6	64.7
66.1	76.3	n/a	75.2	80.1
71.5	74.4	n/a	73.6	72.2



# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

**If your management team could make only one or two improvements to your job or the workplace, what would they be?**



Branch 2014	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
38.5	30.6	26.1
38.5	36.2	34.3
37.6	34.8	36.8
34.2	34.8	31.3
30.8	28.3	26.6
29.1	27.6	22.1
29.1	24.7	21.6
27.4	22.7	22.9
26.5	23.6	20.2
25.6	24.5	27.4
23.1	21.6	18.1
22.2	24.5	25.1
10.3	13.9	10.7
9.4	9.4	11.0
9.4	6.5	9.7
6.8	11.2	12.2

# Snapshot Report

## Real Estate, Housing and Economic Sustainability

All table numbers represent % Yes.


### DISCRIMINATION / HARASSMENT



Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
13.2	10.0	26.7	13.9	16.2
19.5	25.0	26.7	14.3	17.6
22.2	27.5	40.0	18.6	23.4
57.5	n/a	n/a	43.0	43.9
28.6	n/a	n/a	27.4	27.3

For the Discrimination / Harassment section, % No represents a favourable score.

### DIVERSITY



All table numbers represent % Yes.

Branch 2014	Group 2012	Group 2010	Dept 2014	CoE 2014
1.6	5.0	6.7	2.6	4.3
16.0	15.0	13.3	17.6	19.2
4.8	7.5	13.3	8.1	8.7
2.4	5.3	3.3	4.9	4.2