WRR Grant Evaluation Score Sheet

Project Name



1 (Very poor)

Meets some criteria but unacceptable.

2 (Poor)

Meets the criteria minimally.

3 (Adequate)

Meets the criteria but does not exceed it.

4 (Good)

Meets the criteria and substantially exceeds it.

5 (Excellent)

Meets the criteria and demonstrates highest excellence and superiority.

Criteria	Score	Notes
A) CORE REQUIREMENTS (Must have met these to be considered for review)		
Is the application COMPLETE – all required information has been submitted?	Does this project meet	
Do the project outcomes satisfy one or more of the grant's objectives? 1) Directly result in a reduction in the amount of waste produced	criteria?	
2) Increase the amount of materials reused locally		
3) Directly enhance the knowledge, ability and/or motivation of the intended	(proceed)	
audience to engage in activities that result in waste reduction and/or reuse.	(proceeu)	
4) Foster long–term changes in behaviour that result in waste reduction	No	
Can the benefits and other indicators of success be measured?	(do not proceed	
Can be completed in a one-year period.	to scoring)	
Is the applicant eligible?		
B) TECHNICAL MERIT (40 points)		
Does the project address priority waste streams as identified in the Waste Reduction Roadmap?	(1-5)	
Does not duplicate a program being delivered in the neighbourhood/community or by the City of Edmonton.	(1–5)	
Is the grant application clear and concise?	(1-5)	
Is there a sound rationale for the project?	(1-5)	
Will there be demand/support from the target audience for the project deliverables?	(1–5)	
Does the project include innovative elements?	(1–5)	
Does the application include a well-thought-out communication plan (strategies, tools, and budget)?	(1–5)	
Will the project foster long-term behavioural change(s)?	(1–5)	
C) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (25 points)		
Does the project include partnerships with local businesses, community programs or other groups?	(1-5)	
Has the applicant identified and engaged key stakeholders?	(1–5)	
Does the project engage (without barrier) people of all ages, abilities, economic levels and cultures?	(1–5)	
Does the project have a strong community reach — how many people will be impacted & benefit?	(1–5)	
Does the project help educate individuals or the community about one or more of the grant objectives?	(1–5)	
D) PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY (15 points)		
Does the project team have demonstrated capacity to deliver the project?	(1-5)	
Can the project be replicated by others?	(1-5)	
Are there any potential risks (challenges) associated to the proposed project?	(1–5)	
E) TOTAL SCORE (80 points)		