Hello,

This is regarding the Holyrood gardens rezoning and construction by Regency Developments. And I am a member of Holyrood community.

I would like to say how ridiculous it is that the City is even considering rezoning, adding more units then agreed to, and continuing forward with this plan. It makes me think that the City has been actively pushing for the rezoning as much as - if not more - then Regency. The City is supposed to represent US, not Regency to make their lives easier. Regency does not care what adding more units, high rises, or any other implication such as traffic, infrastructure, congestion, or downgrading the community by turning it into an over populated ghetto. Regency will say or do anything to allow for more units because it means more money - thats it, thats all, very simple. The community has put their own time, effort, money, and resources to fight this development from getting bigger and away from what our neighborhood should be. Why does it feel like we as a community are fighting Regency and the City skipping along hand in hand? Why are the planners, designers, architects that the City have not represnting or listening to our community? This is where we live, these are our kids, parents, seniors and we pay taxes that are paying for any sort of input by the City that is going into this - so why are our tax dollars going against us so that we need to fight it even harder with additional time, money, and resources?

Does that sound fair?
Does that sound like a process that represents the community?

I would like to reccomend that whichever City politicians that are pushing this agenda to approve rezoning beside their house, in their neighboorhood. I would like to see some stats on that. Holyrood isnt a cheap area to buy in, it never has been - so why does is it seeming to be treated as such with no regard for resident input.

We fought this already, we negotiated and gave already more then we wanted to, we sat back and assumed that it was enough that the construction has started and we had gone through the proper channels to get this project to meet somewhere in the middle. Which it wasnt, but we could tell we didnt have much of a choice regardless. It feels like when everyone was focused on covid Regency and the City tried to push through the upsizing of this again. Once again I should ask - where is the representation we are supposed to get regarding our tax dollars? Why is every town hall meeting and "consultations" seem to already to be stacked against us before it even starts? Its not a negotiation or a meeting of the minds, its us being told by the City and Regency what is going to happen - and then we get the task of fighting it tooth and nail if we disagree.

Please start listening to us.

We dont have the time, effort, money to continue to fight this.

How is it possible that Regency is still able to add levels to the development after it has already been engineered and designed and starting to be built? Im in construction, and thats not how it goes, its to expensive if you are wrong and you
can't proceed - which is why it looks like this was always the plan from the beginning.

Thank you,
City Clerk of Edmonton

Re: Holyrood Development

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional to the 440 appts. already approved and 760 appts under construction north of 93rd Ave.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

Yours Sincerely,
March 10, 2021

City Clerk, City of Edmonton
City.clerk@edmonton.ca

Re: Hillwood Gardens Development

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional units to the 1200 apartment already approved.

I do not want more density due to problems with traffic and the security of children and seniors.

I am also concerned about affordable housing. Hillwood and the surrounding communities have seen the price of real estate skyrocket, so how are you going to be affordable housing units in this complex?

I am very concerned that the City of Edmonton is supporting developers instead of its tax payers.

Regards,

[Name redacted]

[Handwritten signature]
City Clerk
City of Edmonton
3rd Floor, 10010 107th Street, Edmonton, AB

dear Sir / Madam,

Re: Holyrood Redevelopment

I am opposed to the addition of 460 apartments to the 270 already approved apartments and the 760 apartments under construction north of 98 Avenue.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and the security of seniors and children.

Thank you for listening to the concerns of the community.

Yours sincerely,

[Name redacted]
May 9, 2021

City Clerk of Edmonton

Re: Holyrood Developments

I oppose the addition of 450 units to the 440 apartments already approved and 760 apartments under construction north of 93 Avenue.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

Respectfully,

Joyce Lee
City Check

City of Edmonton
City Clerk @ Edmonton.ca
Re: Holyrood Development

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional to the 440 apples already approved and 460 appron under construction north of 93rd Avenue.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

[Signature]

May 10th, 2021
city clerk city of Edmonton

re: Hollywood development:

Dear Sir/Madam

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional to the 440 units already approved and 760 units under construction north of 93 Avenue.

We do not want more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

Your sincerely

[Signature]
City Clerk City of Edmonton

Re: Holyrood Redevelopment

Dear Madam,

I am opposed to the adding 450 additional to the 820 apartments already approved and 760 apartments under construction north of 93 Avenue.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

Yours sincerely,
City Clerk
City of Edmonton
City.Clerk@edmonton.ca
Re: Hollywood Development

May 10th, 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional units to the 440 apartments already approved and 700 apartments under construction north of 93rd Ave.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community,

Ann Smith
May 19, 2021.

City Clerk
City of Edmonton

Re: Holyrood Development

Dear Sir/madam,

I am opposed to the adding of 450 additional to the 440 apartments already approved and 760 apartments under construction north of 93 Avenue.

We do not wish more density due to problems with traffic and security of children and seniors.

Thank you for your concern for our community.

Yours sincerely,

[Name]
Edm Women's Group
Correspondence: May 4, 2021 CCPH Item 3.25 PRUDEN

1 message

OCM OCC Internet Mail <city.clerk@edmonton.ca> Mon, May 10, 2021 at 9:54 AM

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Jim and Cathy Pruden
Date: Sun, May 9, 2021 at 11:23 PM
Subject: Letter of concern and support
To: <city.clerk@edmonton.ca>

Re: May 4 public hearing, Item 3.25 Charter Blaw 19681 - to allow for mixed use, high density, transit oriented development Holyrood.

We are writing with our concerns about the proposal by Regency Developments to increase the number of units on the northern portion of their site at Holyrood Gardens.

The previous application was met with a positive outcome for the community and developers. How can a new application be considered that involves significant changes without some concern about how Regency shows respect towards what was approved in 2018? Regency shows that there is no desire to come to a mutual agreement, just a desire to increase profits. Has the Edmonton Design Committee seeing changes that warrant an approval of this change? The timing of this rezoning request seems to be a play to wear down those who have already invested thousands of hours in trying to ensure what is best for the community and the city. Is this time and money well-used by our City Council; we think not? Kudos to our community volunteers who show such passion for doing what is right. Many people give up because they feel the city isn’t listening anyway so why bother voicing concerns.

Another point of concern is there does not appear to be a consideration for additional and affordable family friendly housing. Is that the point of making a bigger footprint, no families, no need to have green space? People need to get outside and have the space to do it within their community. The pandemic has shown even more how that is necessary.

With Open Option Parking there is concern of how an increase in units will impact parking. In a perfect world, we hope that transit will be the main mode of transportation for residents of this development, but realistically we are a winter city. People will have cars and will need to drive and to park.

The developer, Regency Developments, has a reputation and something the city should be concerned about. Recent articles about other construction sites of this developer are sitting undeveloped. Global News: [for one, and the former BMO site at [ ]

In many previous letters of support from concerned residents ([https://engaged.edmonton.ca/HolyroodGardens](https://engaged.edmonton.ca/HolyroodGardens)), there have been some excellent points made on why not to support this development. We add our voices to these concerns. Please reject further expansion of this project.

Thank you
Cathy and Jim Pruden
30 year residents of Holyrood

https://mail.google.com/mail/b/ALGkd0wugy1Qxtrs7MPr6Mn1EpjSV_flU_N1Emn-0IbN8pxxb_9dESI/u0?ik=a927317a5d&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-a%