

Procedure



Review of Unsolicited Proposals

This Procedure falls under the Procurement Administrative Policy.

Approved By:
Deputy City Manager
Financial and Corporate Services

Date of Approval: 04/16/2020

Program: Financial Management
The City of Edmonton's resilient financial position enables both current and long-term service delivery and growth.

Next Scheduled Review: 04/16/2023

Purpose

The purpose of this procedure is to prescribe the process to respond to unsolicited proposals in a manner that ensures transparency, fairness and best value for the City, and to provide guidance to suppliers on the submission of unsolicited proposals to the City. This procedure only applies to procurements with a value of \$75,000 or more.

Definitions

All definitions contained in the Procurement Administrative Directive apply to this procedure. In addition:

- **unsolicited proposal evaluation committee** - means the committee of individuals, which may include both employees and non-employees, established to evaluate unsolicited proposals.

Principles

Contracts with the City generally result from competitive, non-competitive, self-service or invitational procurement processes. Should the City decide to enter into a contractual relationship as a result of an unsolicited proposal, employees involved with the procurement shall adhere to the Non-Competitive Procurement Procedure.

The City generally welcomes thoughtful, innovative, value-added ideas in the form of unsolicited proposals; however:

Review of Unsolicited Proposals

- The City is under no obligation to perform a comprehensive, or any, evaluation of any unsolicited proposal;
- The City reserves its unilateral right to proceed, at any point, with an open competition;
- The City reserves the right to consider or reject any unsolicited proposal in whole or in part in the City's sole and unfettered discretion;
- If it is determined that the unsolicited proposal is principally for the procurement of routine goods and services, construction and intellectual property, the City's Procurement Directive and the other procedures thereunder will apply and no further evaluation will be performed;
- All costs associated with submitting an unsolicited proposal, including any subsequent demonstrations, presentations, and negotiations, are the sole responsibility of the supplier submitting the unsolicited proposal;
- The submission of an unsolicited proposal is done entirely at the supplier's risk and confers upon the City no contractual obligations whatsoever;
- A favourable initial review by any staff of the City in no way implies that a contractual relationship between the supplier and the City exists or will at any point in the future be formed;
- The City is under no obligation to return an unsolicited proposal to the supplier that submitted the proposal;
- The City will take reasonable measures to keep any proprietary information contained in an unsolicited proposal confidential, subject to the City's statutory obligations under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act;

Unsolicited proposals should contain the following information in order to be considered by the City of Edmonton:

- The supplier's name, address and contact information;
- A concise title and abstract of the proposal;
- Proposed contract scope, technical specifications and implementation approach for the proposal;
- A clear statement of how the supplier is uniquely qualified to offer the goods, services, construction or intellectual property rights;
- Relevant experience of the supplier in the delivery of the proposed goods, services, construction or intellectual property rights;
- Benefits that will be derived by the City should it pursue the proposal or how the proposal addresses the City's current or future needs;
- The City department(s) envisioned as benefactors of the proposal (if known);



Review of Unsolicited Proposals

- The names of any City staff with whom the supplier may have discussed the proposal or its subject matter;
- A clear statement of the sustainable aspects of the proposal including environmental, social and economic benefits to the City and other communities and how the proposal will advance the City's objectives related to the City's Sustainable Procurement Policy (C556);
- Proposed price the City would have to pay, or the amount of revenue the City could expect to receive, should the proposal be accepted;
- Proposed contract term, including any potential optional terms;
- Type of support needed from the City, e.g., facilities, equipment, personnel resources, etc. for the supplier to fulfill the proposal;
- Acknowledgement that the City is under no obligation to accept the proposal, that all costs incurred by the supplier in relation to the proposal are incurred at the supplier's own risk and expense and that the City shall not be liable for any costs or damages in connection with the rejection or non-acceptance of the proposal;
- An indemnification by the supplier in favour of the City from and against any claims related to any infringement of copyright, trademark, industrial design or any other intellectual property right; and
- Identification of any known potential conflicts of interest existing with relation to the supplier, City staff or others, including but not limited to conflicts of interest described in the following administrative procedures:
 - Conflict of Interest After City Employment;
 - Conflict of Interest of Employees Participating in City Procurements; or
 - Conflict of Interest Related to Members of Civic Agencies.

If the unsolicited proposal does not conflict with any of the principles above and the business area is willing to consider the proposal, the following process applies to all suppliers and unsolicited proposals:

Note: At any stage, the City reserves the right to cease its review or consideration of any unsolicited proposal.

Processes

1. Receipt of an Unsolicited Proposal

1.1. Upon receipt of an unsolicited proposal:

- by a business area, the business area may review the proposal to determine if further investigation or evaluation of the proposal is warranted; or



Review of Unsolicited Proposals

- by Corporate Procurement and Supply Services (CPSS), the CPSS team responsible for the relevant business area may consult with the corresponding business area based on information received in the proposal to determine if further investigation into or evaluation of the proposal is warranted.
- 1.2. During the initial review of a proposal pursuant to paragraph 1.1 above, the City may take into account these and any other appropriate considerations:
- The uniqueness or innovation of the proposal;
 - Functional or technical merits;
 - The City's needs;
 - Availability of funding;
 - Costs versus benefits;
 - Qualifications and experience of the supplier;
 - Sustainable aspects as defined above; and
 - Determination if any contract entered into pursuant to the proposal would be exempt from the applicable trade agreement(s).
- 1.3. If it is determined by the delegated authority for the business area that the proposal warrants further review, the business area will inform a CPSS Buyer and may assign business area staff to investigate the opportunity further.

2. *Evaluating Unsolicited Proposals*

- 2.1. Should the business area determine that further evaluation of the proposal is warranted, prior to entering into a contract the business area will, with CPSS support, convene an evaluation committee with appropriate representation from the relevant City areas, considering technical, strategic and other corporate factors. The supplier may be asked to submit additional information in advance of this review.
- 2.2. The evaluation committee will follow the City's evaluation processes according to the Competitive Procurement Procedure in performing the following:
- Evaluate the supplier's technical, commercial, managerial and financial capabilities to determine whether the supplier's capabilities are adequate for undertaking the work;
 - Weigh, as relevant, the technical, commercial, managerial and financial aspects of the proposal and determine if the scale and scope of the work is in line with the requirements, the funding ability, and the interests of the City; and
 - Engage additional City resources, as appropriate, to determine whether the sharing of risks as proposed in the proposal is acceptable to the City and if the

Procedure

Review of Unsolicited Proposals

work is in conformity with long term objectives of the City.

The City may require the supplier to submit additional information during the evaluation process. The City may also confer with third parties to gather additional information to be used for evaluation or other appropriate purposes.

- 2.3. Based on the evaluation, the evaluation committee may decide to reject the proposal, to request amendments to the proposal, or to continue with the process. If the evaluation committee recommends any modification in the functional or technical aspects, or the scale, scope and risk sharing of the proposal, the supplier will be allowed to consider the recommendations and, if the supplier wishes, resubmit its proposal within a given time period determined by the evaluation committee. The evaluation committee will consider any amendments provided by the supplier in accordance with section 2.2 above.
- 2.4. If the evaluation committee concludes that the unsolicited proposal is acceptable, the Non-Competitive Procurement Procedure must be followed.

Additional Resources

The Branch Manager, Corporate Procurement and Supply Services may, after review by the City Solicitor, approve standards to support or provide further detail to the above processes; however, any amendments to the processes contained in this procedure must be approved by the City Manager.