

Capital Line South LRT Extension (CLSE) What We Heard Survey Summary August & September 2017 Survey

Numbering may not correspond with actual survey question numbers. Percentage totals may not equal 100 due to rounding.

This survey was conducted to validate the 2013 preliminary design with regards to the theme, look and feel of the corridor, and treatment of structures such as bridges. Information also requested included: a potential station at Twin Brooks, public understanding of the project, and how people currently travel within the city of Edmonton.

Surveys received

| Survey Method | # of responses | % of |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | responses |
| City of Edmonton website | 1,262 | 75 |
| Pop-ups | 423 | 25 |
| Paper | 2 | 0 |
| Total Surveys received | 1,687 | |

1. In what Edmonton neighbourhood do you reside?

Neighbourhoods not included in this list had no survey respondents

| Neighbourhood (in alphabetical order) | # of responses | % of responses |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | |
| Abbottsfield | 2 | 0 |
| Alberta Avenue | 2 | 0 |
| Aldergrove | 1 | 0 |
| Allard | 79 | 5 |
| Allendale | 2 | 0 |
| Ambleside | 16 | 1 |

| Anthony Henday South | 2 | 0 |
|-----------------------|----|---|
| Argyll | 3 | 0 |
| Aspen Gardens | 8 | 0 |
| Avonmore | 1 | 0 |
| | 1 | |
| Beacon Heights | | 0 |
| Bearspaw | 18 | 1 |
| Belgravia | 2 | 0 |
| Belmont | 2 | 0 |
| Belvedere | 2 | 0 |
| Bergman | 1 | 0 |
| Beverly Heights | 1 | 0 |
| Blackburne | 34 | 2 |
| Blackmud Creek | 54 | 3 |
| Blackmud Creek Ravine | 6 | 0 |
| Blue Quill | 54 | 3 |
| Blue Quill Estates | 4 | 0 |
| Bonnie Doon | 1 | 0 |
| Brookside | 3 | 0 |
| Bulyea Heights | 1 | 0 |
| Callaghan | 55 | 3 |
| Callingwood North | 2 | 0 |
| Callingwood South | 1 | 0 |
| Capilano | 2 | 0 |
| Carleton Square | 1 | 0 |
| Cavanagh | 5 | 0 |
| Central McDougall | 1 | 0 |
| Chappelle Area | 80 | 5 |
| Charlesworth | 4 | 0 |
| Clareview Town Centre | 5 | 0 |
| Cloverdale | 1 | 0 |
| Coronet Industrial | 1 | 0 |
| CPR Irvine | 1 | 0 |
| Crawford Plains | 2 | 0 |
| Crestwood | 1 | 0 |
| Cromdale | 4 | 0 |
| Cumberland | 1 | 0 |
| Desrochers Area | 16 | 1 |
| Downtown | 8 | 0 |
| Duggan | 5 | 0 |
| Eaux Claires | 1 | 0 |
| Edgemont | 2 | 0 |
| Ellerslie | 20 | 1 |
| Elmwood | 1 | 0 |
| Elmwood Park | 1 | 0 |
| Empire Park | 7 | 0 |
| Ermineskin | 19 | 1 |
| Fraser | 1 | 0 |
| Fulton Place | 5 | 0 |
| Garneau | 6 | 0 |
| Glenora | 2 | 0 |
| Gicilora | Ζ | 0 |

| Classidding Arc- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|
| Glenridding Area Gold Bar | 11 | 1 |
| | 1 | 0 |
| Grandview Heights Granville | 3 | 0 |
| | 2 | 0 |
| Graydon Hill | 11 | 1 |
| Greenfield | 17 | 1 |
| Greenview | 2 | 0 |
| Haddow | 3 | 0 |
| Hays Ridge Area | 4 | 0 |
| Hazeldean | 2 | 0 |
| Heritage Valley Area | 14 | 1 |
| Heritage Valley Town Centre | 7 | 0 |
| Area | | |
| Highlands | 2 | 0 |
| Hollick-Kenyon | 1 | 0 |
| Holyrood | 2 | 0 |
| Idylwylde | 1 | 0 |
| Jackson Heights | 1 | 0 |
| Jasper Park | 3 | 0 |
| Keheewin | 13 | 1 |
| Kensington | 2 | 0 |
| Kernohan | 1 | 0 |
| Keswick Area | 4 | 0 |
| Killarney | 2 | 0 |
| King Edward Park | 3 | 0 |
| Kirkness | 2 | 0 |
| La Perle | 2 | 0 |
| Lansdowne | 2 | 0 |
| Larkspur | 2 | 0 |
| Laurel | 2 | 0 |
| Laurier Heights | 1 | 0 |
| Lee Ridge | 1 | 0 |
| Leger | 1 | 0 |
| Lendrum Place | 4 | 0 |
| Lewis Farms Industrial | 1 | 0 |
| Lynnwood | 1 | 0 |
| MacEwan | 117 | 7 |
| Mactaggart | 4 | 0 |
| Magrath Heights | 8 | 0 |
| Malmo Plains | 5 | 0 |
| Mayfield | 2 | 0 |
| McCauley | 1 | 0 |
| McConachie Area | 1 | 0 |
| McKernan | 3 | 0 |
| Meadows Area | 6 | 0 |
| Menisa | 1 | 0 |
| Meyonohk | 1 | 0 |
| Michaels Park | 1 | 0 |
| Mill Creek Ravine South | 1 | 0 |
| Mill Woods Golf Course | 2 | 0 |
| | Ζ | 0 |

| | 1 | |
|------------------------|-----|----|
| Mill Woods Park | 4 | 0 |
| Mill Woods Town Centre | 11 | 1 |
| Norwester Industrial | 1 | 0 |
| Ogilvie Ridge | 2 | 0 |
| Oleskiw | 1 | 0 |
| Oliver | 13 | 1 |
| Ormsby Place | 1 | 0 |
| Ottewell | 2 | 0 |
| Oxford | 1 | 0 |
| Ozerna | 1 | 0 |
| Paisley | 25 | 1 |
| Parkallen | 3 | 0 |
| Parkdale | 1 | 0 |
| Parkview | 1 | 0 |
| Pembina | 1 | 0 |
| Pleasantview | 6 | 0 |
| Pollard Meadows | 2 | 0 |
| Prince Charles | 1 | 0 |
| Prince Rupert | 1 | 0 |
| Pylypow Industrial | 1 | 0 |
| Queen Alexandra | 3 | 0 |
| Queen Mary Park | 1 | 0 |
| Rhatigan Ridge | 3 | 0 |
| Richford | 10 | 1 |
| Rideau Park | 3 | 0 |
| Rio Terrace | 1 | 0 |
| Ritchie | 1 | 0 |
| Riverdale | 3 | 0 |
| Rosenthal | 2 | 0 |
| Rossdale | 2 | 0 |
| Royal Gardens | 7 | 0 |
| Rundle Heights | 2 | 0 |
| Rural North East South | 1 | 0 |
| Sturgeon | | |
| Rutherford | 223 | 13 |
| Sakaw | 1 | 0 |
| Satoo | 1 | 0 |
| Schonsee | 1 | 0 |
| Sherbrooke | 1 | 0 |
| Silver Berry | 2 | 0 |
| Skyrattler | 25 | 1 |
| South Edmonton Common | 8 | 0 |
| South Terwillegar | 6 | 0 |
| Spruce Avenue | 1 | 0 |
| Steinhauer | 5 | 0 |
| Strathcona | 8 | 0 |
| Summerside | 26 | 2 |
| Sweet Grass | 11 | 1 |
| Tamarack | 2 | 0 |
| Terra Losa | 1 | 0 |
| | | 0 |

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Terwillegar Towne | 19 | 1 |
| The Hamptons | 2 | 0 |
| The Orchards At Ellerslie | 3 | 0 |
| Tipaskan | 1 | 0 |
| Twin Brooks | 305 | 18 |
| University of Alberta | 6 | 0 |
| Walker | 13 | 1 |
| Wedgewood Heights | 1 | 0 |
| Weinlos | 2 | 0 |
| Westbrook Estates | 5 | 0 |
| Westmount | 3 | 0 |
| Westridge | 2 | 0 |
| Westwood | 2 | 0 |
| Windermere | 13 | 1 |
| Windermere Area | 4 | 0 |
| Windsor Park | 1 | 0 |
| Woodcroft | 1 | 0 |
| OTHER | 17 | 1 |

a) What age category do you fall in?

| Age Category of survey | # of | % of |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| respondent | responses | responses |
| Less than 18 years | 27 | 2 |
| 18 - 24 years | 162 | 10 |
| 25 - 34 years | 452 | 27 |
| 35 - 44 years | 443 | 26 |
| 45 - 54 years | 297 | 18 |
| 55 - 64 years | 193 | 11 |
| 65 years or older | 93 | 6 |
| Prefer not to answer | 20 | 1 |

2. Do you have children 18 or under living at home?

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 721 | 43 |
| No | 966 | 57 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

3. Have you used or do you currently use LRT in Edmonton?

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,504 | 89 |
| No | 183 | 11 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

How often do you use LRT?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 3)

| Usage | # of | % of |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Daily | 686 | 46 |
| Weekly | 172 | 11 |
| Monthly | 167 | 11 |
| Special events only | 428 | 28 |
| Other | 51 | 3 |
| Total | 1,504 | |

Why don't you use LRT?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 3)

| Reason | # of | % of |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Not close to a station | 32 | 17 |
| Inconvenient | 33 | 18 |
| Have to take a bus to get to | 25 | 14 |
| LRT | | |
| Poor transit connections to my | 23 | 13 |
| place of work, school, play | | |
| Like to drive | 37 | 20 |
| Other | 33 | 18 |
| Total | 183 | |

4. What is your primary mode of transportation?

| Primary mode of | # of | % of |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| transportation | responses | responses |
| Car/truck/Van as DRIVER | 1,011 | 60 |
| Car/truck/van as PASSENGER | 51 | 3 |
| Public Transit | 548 | 32 |
| Walk | 23 | 1 |
| Bicycle | 18 | 1 |
| Other (See below) | 36 | 2 |

Other:

Combination of methods

- I bike 3-4 days and drive others
- All of the above in equal measure
- Driver and passenger and transit WHEN CONVENIENT!!!
- I drive but also use public transportation (3)
- Mix of vehicle, public transit, and walking
- We are a one car family and rely on public transit too
- Hybrid vehicle as passenger and LRT as I work two different jobs during the week
- Mix of Public Transit/LRT and Car
- Everything
- Equal mix of car and public transportation

Park and Ride (LRT) - (10 responses)

50/50 Public Transit (type not specified) and Car - (5 responses)

Transit for work; car for all other - (6 responses)

Other Responses

- Driver. A vehicle is needed for my job so LRT not feasible. Though my husband and children would likely use. Children would use if ready for high school or university access.
- Motorbike
- Taxi

5. Where do you get your information on transportation projects in Edmonton?

(more than one source may have been indicated)

| Source | # of | % of |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| City of Edmonton website | 832 | 49 |
| Newspaper articles | 665 | 39 |
| TV or radio news | 614 | 36 |
| Community newsletters | 346 | 21 |
| Other | 293 | 17 |
| I don't look for info | 208 | 12 |

6. To the best of your knowledge, what is the name of the LRT line that runs from Clareview through downtown to Century Park?

| Name of Line | # of | % of |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Energy Line | 0 | 0 |
| Capital Line | 1,248 | 74 |
| Valley Line | 23 | 1 |
| Metro Line | 164 | 10 |
| Don't know | 252 | 15 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

7. Have you heard about the planned Capital Line South Extension from Century Park to Heritage Valley Park and Ride at Ellerslie Road?

| Response | # of | % of |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,480 | 88 |
| No | 190 | 11 |
| Don't know | 17 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

What do you know about this proposed LRT line?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 7)

| Response | # of | % of |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered question | 1,260 | 85 |
| Did not answer question | 220 | 15 |
| Total | 1,480 | |

Most respondents knew that the proposed LRT extension starts at Century Park, runs south along 111 Street and crosses Anthony Henday. There was some confusion about timing and where this phase will end (Ellerslie Road). Park and Ride facilities were frequently mentioned.

8. Before taking this survey, did you know that the City is reviewing and updating the 2010 preliminary design for the Capital Line South Extension to meet current technology and community needs?

| Response | # of | % of |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 704 | 42 |
| No | 915 | 54 |
| Don't know | 68 | 4 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

BACKGROUND: The current preliminary design divides the future 4.5 km extension from Century Park to Heritage Valley Park & Ride into two themed areas:

-Urban Transition Theme – from Century Park to 9th Avenue

-Nature Theme – 9th Avenue to Ellerslie Road

A third area -Wetland Theme was developed for the Heritage Valley Park and Ride, between 127 Street and 135 Street. This area is not part of our project.

Extensive public consultation and a Stakeholder Information Panel (SIP) made up of community members helped to develop these themes to reflect the communities and landscapes the LRT extension will move through.



The images below describe the two themes found between Century Park and Ellerslie Road.

Natural Colour Palette

SIP Members Preferred: **Nature Theme** Vegetation **Features:**

Along 111 Street from 9th Ave NW to **Ellerslie Road**







Example: Wall Featur

Example: Wall Features









Example: Architectural Features

SIP Members Preferred: **Urban Transition Theme Features:**

Along 111 Street to 9th Ave NW



9. The Urban Transition Theme - from Century Park to 9th Avenue: 111 Street and 23 Avenue looking north (2010 Preliminary Design).



111 Street and 23 Avenue looking north

This theme is comprised of natural features including an alternating wood-styled concrete and stone visual screen wall along private residential properties, grass, trees and other plantings. There would be a security fence along the LRT and stylized light standards. A multi-use trail would be included on the west side of 111 Street. Plants selected for this zone typically would grow in Blackmud Creek ravine and they would be planted in curving naturalized beds. Some flowering trees and shrubs would be added for seasonal colour.

Does this describe an appropriate theme for this zone?

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,596 | 95 |
| No | 91 | 5 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

Do you have any ideas or comments that should be considered?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 9)

| Ideas & comments for zone | # of | % of |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 644 | 40 |
| No/Did not answer | 952 | 60 |
| Total | 1,596 | |

What is missing?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 9)

| Missing? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 61 | 67 |
| Did not answer | 30 | 33 |
| Total | 91 | |

What is not suitable, if anything?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 9)

| Not suitable? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 65 | 71 |
| Did not answer | 26 | 29 |
| Total | 91 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to the Urban Transition Theme

- Fence requires refinement with regards to patterning and colour
- Provide more vegetation
- Provide well defined extra wide pedestrian trails and connections

10. Nature Theme - 9th Avenue to Ellerslie Road LRT Station at Ellerslie Road (2010 Preliminary Design).



LRT Station at Ellerslie Road looking north

This theme aims to increase the human connection to the natural environment. The LRT station is semi-enclosed by a curved, tree-formed canopy that provides a high degree of shelter with natural ventilation, clear views to and from the station, and access to natural light. Stone walls and baskets, along with organic shaped lighting add to the theme. A darker 'river' of paving stone is set into alternating sandblasted and saw-cut concrete walks. Large trees will provide shade during the summer, while allowing sunshine to warm LRT patrons in the winter. Low level vegetation will provide seasonal interest and a visual screen. The screen connects the station with the natural elements of the surrounding community.

Does this describe an appropriate theme for this zone?

| Response | # of | % of |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,574 | 93 |
| No | 112 | 7 |
| Total | 1,686 | |
| No response to question | 1 | |

Do you have any ideas or comments that should be considered?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 10)

| Ideas & comments for zone | # of | % of |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 531 | 34 |
| No/Did not answer | 1,043 | 66 |
| Total | 1,574 | |

What is missing?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 10)

| Missing? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 75 | 67 |
| Did not answer | 37 | 33 |
| Total | 112 | |

What is not suitable, if anything?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 10)

| Not suitable? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 69 | 62 |
| Did not answer | 43 | 38 |
| Total | 112 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to the Nature Theme

- Ensure the station will be warm in winter and protected from the elements
- Provide more vegetation
- Provide well defined pedestrian connections
- More bicycle facilities required

BACKGROUND - In 2010, three locations were planned along the Capital Line South Extension with grade separations (tracks over or under the road). Each has been given a specific look that reflects the site and the characteristics considered important by the Stakeholder Information Panel and public during the previous preliminary design process.



11. LRT Bridge over Anthony Henday Drive (2010 Preliminary Design).

LRT Bridge over Anthony Henday Drive looking east

This bridge would use the Nature theme with nature elements carried forward in the design. Images of birds, plants and other natural elements may be incorporated into the structure.

Does this describe an appropriate treatment for this bridge?

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,514 | 90 |
| No | 173 | 10 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

Do you have any ideas or comments that should be considered?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 11)

| Ideas & comments for bridge | # of | % of |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 363 | 24 |
| No/Did not answer | 1,150 | 76 |
| Total | 1,513 | |

What is missing?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 11)

| Missing? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 114 | 66 |
| Did not answer | 59 | 34 |
| Total | 173 | |

What is not suitable, if anything?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 11)

| Not suitable? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 115 | 66 |
| Did not answer | 58 | 34 |
| Total | 173 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to treatment of Anthony Henday Bridge

- Keep bridge design simple and modern
- Conflicting opinions on bird/nature elements on bridge, more refinement needed
- Add more planting around bridge to reflect nature theme
- Do not spend a lot of money on art on bridge
- Provide pedestrian and cycle trail on bridge



12. Bridge over Blackmud Creek (2010 Preliminary Design).

Bridge over Blackmud Creek looking south

This bridge would have a multi-use trail as part of the structure. It would be developed using the Urban Transition Theme, complementing the creek, trees, plantings and animals found in the ravine by incorporating images of tall grass, leaves, rolling hills and accents of birds on the structure. Lighting would be included to increase visibility and safety.

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,607 | 95 |
| No | 80 | 5 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

Does this describe an appropriate treatment for this bridge?

Do you have any ideas or comments that should be considered?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 12)

| Ideas & comments for bridge | # of | % of |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 355 | 22 |
| No/Did not answer | 1,252 | 78 |
| Total | 1,607 | |

What is missing?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 12)

| Missing? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 52 | 65 |
| Did not answer | 28 | 35 |
| Total | 80 | |

What is not suitable, if anything?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 12)

| Not suitable? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 51 | 64 |
| Did not answer | 29 | 36 |
| Total | 80 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to treatment of Bridge over Blackmud Creek

- Bridge design is acceptable
- Provide pedestrian and cycle trail on both sides of the bridge
- Provide trail connections to neighbourhoods and valley from both sides of bridge
- Replace any vegetation removed for construction with enhanced planting
- Protect the valley from disruption as much as possible
- Retain views through and along the river valley as much as possible
- Ensure good lighting on the bridge

13. Underpass at 23 Avenue and 111 Street (2010 Preliminary Design).



Underpass at 23 Avenue and 111 Street looking south

This underpass would use the Urban Transition theme and would include: portal wall patterning, security fencing and grass, trees and other plantings.

Does this describe an appropriate treatment for this underpass?

| Response | # of | % of |
|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,648 | 98 |
| No | 39 | 2 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

Do you have any ideas or comments that should be considered?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 13)

| Ideas & comments for underpass | # of responses | % of responses |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Yes | 411 | 25 |
| No/Did not answer | 1,237 | 75 |
| Total | 1,648 | |

What is missing?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 13)

| Missing? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 21 | 54 |
| Did not answer | 18 | 46 |
| Total | 39 | |

What is not suitable, if anything?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 13)

| Not suitable? | # of | % of |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Answered | 22 | 56 |
| Did not answer | 17 | 44 |
| Total | 39 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to treatment of 23 Avenue Underpass

- Underpass design is acceptable
- Increase planting but ensure maintenance is provided

14. In 2010, we heard that the following was important in the preliminary design of the Capital Line South Extension.

In your opinion, how important are each of the following items:

| Build less expensive bridges and spend money on landscaping and aesthetics along the LRT | # of responses | % of responses |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Not at all important | 476 | 28 |
| 2 | 398 | 24 |
| 3 | 413 | 24 |
| 4 | 218 | 13 |
| 5 Very important | 116 | 7 |
| Don't know | 66 | 4 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| If trees need to be removed, relocate them in the neighbourhood and replace lost trees | # of responses | % of responses |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Not at all important | 175 | 10 |
| 2 | 165 | 10 |
| 3 | 273 | 16 |
| 4 | 450 | 27 |
| 5 Very important | 602 | 36 |
| Don't know | 22 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Use natural themed landscape and/or screening to shield views of the LRT, station, transit center and Park & Ride | # of responses | % of responses |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Not at all important | 176 | 10 |
| 2 | 199 | 12 |
| 3 | 400 | 24 |
| 4 | 500 | 30 |
| 5 Very important | 387 | 23 |
| Don't know | 25 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Minimize impacts on the environment | # of | % of |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 89 | 5 |
| 2 | 115 | 7 |
| 3 | 353 | 21 |
| 4 | 414 | 25 |
| 5 Very important | 700 | 41 |
| Don't know | 16 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Use a natural suburban theme with lots of trees in transition area | # of responses | % of responses |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Not at all important | 140 | 8 |
| 2 | 155 | 9 |
| 3 | 387 | 23 |
| 4 | 503 | 30 |
| 5 Very important | 476 | 28 |
| Don't know | 26 | 2 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Use energy efficient lighting and features | # of | % of |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 55 | 3 |
| 2 | 57 | 3 |
| 3 | 204 | 12 |
| 4 | 503 | 30 |
| 5 Very important | 855 | 51 |
| Don't know | 13 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Provide noise attenuation where possible | # of | % of |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 30 | 2 |
| 2 | 43 | 3 |
| 3 | 188 | 11 |
| 4 | 383 | 23 |
| 5 Very important | 1,031 | 61 |
| Don't know | 12 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Improve connectivity into the communities with multi-use trails | # of responses | % of responses |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Not at all important | 45 | 3 |
| 2 | 73 | 4 |
| 3 | 209 | 12 |
| 4 | 468 | 28 |
| 5 Very important | 875 | 52 |
| Don't know | 17 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Use easy to maintain materials and features | # of | % of |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 32 | 2 |
| 2 | 58 | 3 |
| 3 | 227 | 13 |
| 4 | 524 | 31 |
| 5 Very important | 819 | 49 |
| Don't know | 27 | 2 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Provide adequate room and all weather | # of | % of |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| protection at stations | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 23 | 1 |
| 2 | 25 | 1 |
| 3 | 118 | 7 |
| 4 | 340 | 20 |
| 5 Very important | 1,163 | 69 |
| Don't know | 18 | 1 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

Which one of these is most important to you?

| | # of | % of |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| Build less expensive bridges and spend money on landscaping and aesthetics along the LRT | 58 | 3 |
| If trees need to be removed, relocate them in the neighbourhood and replace lost trees | 63 | 4 |
| Use natural themed landscape and/or screening to shield views of the LRT, station, transit center and Park & Ride | 54 | 3 |
| Minimize impacts on the environment | 245 | 15 |
| Use a natural suburban theme with lots of trees in transition area | 20 | 1 |
| Use energy efficient lighting and features | 49 | 3 |
| Provide noise attenuation where possible | 300 | 18 |
| Improve connectivity into the communities with multi-use trails | 297 | 18 |
| Use easy to maintain materials and features | 71 | 4 |
| Provide adequate room and all weather protection at stations | 404 | 24 |
| None of these | 126 | 7 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

15. In 2010 we also heard that the following items were of major concern.

In your opinion, how important are each of the following items:

| Traffic impacts during and after construction | # of | % of | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| 1 Not at all important | 38 | 2 | |
| 2 | 66 | 4 | |
| 3 | 186 | 11 | |
| 4 | 292 | 17 | |
| 5 Very important | 1,093 | 65 | |
| Don't know | 12 | 1 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

| Construction time required and schedule | # of | % of | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| - | responses | responses | |
| 1 Not at all important | 47 | 3 | |
| 2 | 98 | 6 | |
| 3 | 306 | 18 | |
| 4 | 440 | 26 | |
| 5 Very important | 786 | 47 | |
| Don't know | 10 | 1 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

| LRT crossings timed with traffic lights | # of | % of | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| 1 Not at all important | 25 | 1 | |
| 2 | 21 | 1 | |
| 3 | 104 | 6 | |
| 4 | 283 | 17 | |
| 5 Very important | 1,244 | 74 | |
| Don't know | 10 | 1 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

| Impact on property values | # of | % of |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | responses | responses |
| 1 Not at all important | 127 | 8 |
| 2 | 146 | 9 |
| 3 | 361 | 21 |
| 4 | 367 | 22 |
| 5 Very important | 653 | 39 |
| Don't know | 33 | 2 |
| Total | 1,687 | |

| Reduced connectivity for pedestrians | # of | % of | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| 1 Not at all important | 114 | 7 | |
| 2 | 143 | 8 | |
| 3 | 453 | 27 | |
| 4 | 401 | 24 | |
| 5 Very important | 493 | 29 | |
| Don't know | 83 | 5 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

Which one of these is most important to you?

| | # of | % of | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| Traffic impacts during and after construction | 651 | 39 | |
| Construction time required and schedule | 225 | 13 | |
| LRT crossings timed with traffic lights | 506 | 30 | |
| Impact on property values | 185 | 11 | |
| Reduced connectivity for pedestrians | 120 | 7 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

16. Do you think LRT riders would benefit from a station next to Twin Brooks?

| Response | # of | % of | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| Yes | 1,012 | 60 | |
| No | 292 | 17 | |
| Don't know | 383 | 23 | |
| Total | 1,687 | | |

Why would they benefit from a station next to Twin Brooks?

(for respondents who replied "yes" to question 16)

| Response | # of | % of | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| Answered question | 754 | 75 | |
| Did not answer question | 258 | 25 | |
| Total | 1,012 | | |

Why would they not benefit from a station next to Twin Brooks?

(for respondents who replied "no" to question 16)

| Response | # of | % of | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | responses | responses | |
| Answered question | 239 | 82 | |
| Did not answer question | 53 | 18 | |
| Total | 292 | | |

Additional Information derived from survey responses for Question 16:

Do you think LRT riders would benefit from a station next to Twin Brooks?

| | Overall | | Outside of Twin Brooks | | f Twin Brooks Twin Brooks only | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Response | # of | % of | # of | % of | # of | % of |
| | responses | responses | responses | responses | responses | responses |
| Yes | 1,012 | 60 | 835 | 60 | 177 | 58 |
| No | 292 | 17 | 260 | 19 | 32 | 11 |
| Don't know | 383 | 23 | 287 | 21 | 96 | 31 |
| Total | 1,687 | | 1,382 | | 305 | |

Summary of Frequent Responses related to LRT riders and Twin Brooks station

- Those who believe LRT riders would benefit from a station at Twin Brooks typically indicated that the population density of Twin Brooks is high enough to generate the ridership needed for this station
- Those who do not believe LRT riders would benefit from a station at Twin Brooks typically indicated that the population density of Twin Brooks is too low to generate the ridership needed for this station
- The potential for increased crime is a concern

Summary of comments not related to theme or treatment of structures (from all

questions)

- Keep all LRT crossings above or below the street. Below the street is preferred
- Significant concerns about traffic congestion along 111 Street
- Concerns about an increase in crime
- Concerns about noise
- Do not waste money on art or aesthetics
- Add more stations along the route
- Provide well defined pedestrian and cycle trails with good connections to adjacent neighbourhoods and across bridges
- Get the project built
- There are more important items on this project than theme and aesthetics